

othing in the known universe, no item, object or quantity of material, has ever appreciated in value as fast as the Salvator Mundi. Only reattributed to Leonardo da Vinci in 2011, it is widely said to be "the Last Leonardo". The 66cm high and 45cm wide Renaissance painting of Christ was discovered in May 2005 by two small-time art dealers, Alex Parish and Robert Simon, who paid \$1,175 for it - a sum considerably less than the figure of "around \$10,000" the pair later quoted to the media. In 2013 they sold it for \$80 million, then four years later, in 2017 - a mere 12 years and 6 months after it was sold for not much more than \$1,000 - it was auctioned by Christie's New York for \$450 million (£342 million).

The painting is viewed by some as a lost treasure, something with totemic power, that until recently existed only in myth and legend. Others are far more sceptical. They don't believe that it's by the most famous painter ever known, and are highly critical of the restoration work that it's undergone. What can be said for certain is that the Salvator Mundi painting is a prism through which to understand the highs and lows of the highly secretive art market. And only now can the full story of its discovery be revealed – including the man who unwittingly sold it in 2005, not realising that for years his father had a \$450 million painting hanging in his stairwell. Parish first spotted the painting in an

Parist first spruced the painting if an obscure catalogue of an obscure auction house in New Orleans that has since ceased trading. It was Item 664. "After Leonardo da Vinci (Italian, 1452-1519)," the description began, and then, "Christ Salvador Mundi, oil on cradled panel, 26 inches by 18½ inches. Presented in a fine antique gilt and gesso exhibition frame." The estimate was just \$1,200 to \$1,800.

"It looked kinda interesting. School of Leonardo is always interesting, and the price was very good," Parish remembers. He asked the gallery to send him a photograph. "When it arrived, I pulled it out, I held the picture, and in an instant I could see, like any Old Master dealer can, this part is totally repainted, this part is pretty much untouched, and this part, which included the hand, was like, 'Oh my God, that's period!' You know what that means. Period means it's of the era it's trying to be. And it was pretty good quality. I'm looking at the hand and I'm looking at the drapery and I can clearly see this is not simply one of numerous copies. This is an extremely good, high-quality copy."

Simon had also spotted the very low-resolution black-and-white photograph of the painting. Christ's clothes had become a gloomy grey, his cheekbones and forehead glimmered oddly out of the murky darkness.



and the fingers of his blessing hand seemed illuminated by pale candlelight

It's worth mentioning that the painting was a connoisseur's worst nightmare. It had a great slash down the middle; the paint had been scraped away to the wood on parts of the most important part of any portrait, the face; it had broken into five pieces and was held together by a ramshackle combination of wooden batons. There was no contemporary documentation: not a contract, not an eyewitness account from the time, not a note in a margin about this painting, not one scintilla of evidence that dated from the lifetime of the artist, aside from the odd drawing of an arm or a torso, which could have been for anything. In the past, Parish and Simon had often

shared the financial risk of buying up promising art works. They decided to go for it. The rest, as they say, is art history.

Eight years on, even after years of restoration work, the endorsement of some of the world's best-known art experts and the controversial exhibition of the painting at the National Gallery's landmark Leonardo exhibition in 2011, the Salvator Mundi would prove difficult to sell. It was clear that it would take a particular kind of collector to buy it. He would need to be very rich, of course, but also either less discerning than those who came before him, or more desperate to own a Leonardo, or poorly advised. Or all of those combined. In 2013 its owners were tipped off that one of Russia's richest oligarchs, Dmitry Rybolovlev, was in the process of assembling an exclusive collection of masterpieces. They sent an email

collection of masterpieces. I ney sent an email to Ryboloviev's office in Monaco.

"In the spring of 2013 I was approached about buying the Salvator Mundi;" Ryboloviev tells me. "I looked for more information about it from different sources. I liked the painting a lot right away. The picture intrigued me."
Tall, trim, conservatively dressed,

Rybolovlev emits that blank air of many of the world's wealthiest businessmen. T who are acquainted with him describe him as "a cold, changeable creature who is impossible to decipher". Ice man, stone man, snake or even an endive – the metaphors abound to describe this man who is of unrivalled intelligence, yet inexpressive, capable of shutting down the slightest hint of emotion on his face. "Rybo" or "Mr R", as he is called by those who work for him, can be seen in photographs gazing out across the marina from his \$330 million apartment in Monaco, or perched on the deck of the yacht he has named after his younger daughter, Anna, or standing on the elegant parquet floor of his living room with a small selection of books in the background, among them one by Donald Trump.

In March 2013, his office asked about

the price and been quoted €190 million.

Rybolovlev asked his office to consult Yves Bouvier, a discreet Swiss art dealer who owned a company specialising in the storage

owned a company specialising in the solvage and transportation of art, about the painting, "Bouvier sent an email to our representative advising against buying Salvator Mundi, and he was very blunt," Rybolovlev tells me. "He said that the acquisition of this painting was not a good investment and never would be. He also stressed that someone spending too much money on this picture would be seen as naive

and would be considered a 'laughing stock' by everyone on the art market."

Bouvier says, "I had seen all the technical documentation relating to the restoration, 100 pages of it, including the infrared photographs and the photograph of the painting without restoration. I had doubts about this picture. Why? Because this picture was well known in the market. I knew it was for sale and no one was taking it. And there had been a lot, but a lot, of restoration. I didn't have doubts about its authenticity, since it had been in the exhibition in the National Gallery in London. If it hadn't been in that exhibition, it would have been impossible to sell that painting. I had doubts about the amount of restoration. When I talked to Monsieur Rybo about it, I warned him, 'If you want this picture I can sell it to you, but you should

regard this above all as a decorative purchase."

The oligarch, however, told Bouvier that he really liked the painting. "I couldn't get rid of a feeling that there was something special about this da Vinci, the last da Vinci."

this da Vinci, the last da Vinci."

Bouvier recalls, "I received the Salvator Mundi in one of those black picture carrier bags with two handles ... I said to Dmitry, 'You really want the da Vinci? Have you seen the picture?"

"The first time I saw Salvator Mundi."
Rybolovlev tells me, "I felt a very special, bright, positive energy. It was a remarkable feeling I couldn't take my evers of the Christ.

To see the wider context, we need to return several years back. My family and I lived in Russia and I had been focused exclusively on my business. Russia was going through difficult and turbulent times in the Nineties We had no time to enjoy art."

Sotheby's officially arranged the sale of the Salvator Mundi to Bouvier for \$80.6 million. The next day, Bouvier invoiced Rybolovlev's company for \$1275 million, plus his commission of \$1.275 million, which probably made him in dollar-profit-per-minute terms the most successful art dealer in history. He had made more than \$48 million in less than a day. It was also a good day for the Salvator Mundi. The sale price of \$1275 million was a record for a Leonardo. The picture had risen in value more than 100,000 times in 8 years, to become the most expensive Renaissance painting ever sold.

"We didn't get anything like what we were told we were going to get," says Parish,



THE GAVEL CLUNKED: \$450 MILLION. THE **AUCTION HAD** TAKEN 19 MINUTES



utside the Louvre Abu Dhahi, the w

"but at the end of the day we still made more money than we had ever seen. And then, it was about two years later that we started

it was about two years later that we started to read that the guy we had approached [Rybolovlev] ended up buying the picture from this other guy [Bouvier]. And this guy got \$50 million more for it than we did."

On March 9, 2014, The New York Times published a story about the Salvator Mundi. Under the headline "Putting a Price on Leonardo", it revealed that Simon and Parish had sold the picture to Bouvier for somewhere between \$75 million and \$80 million. Rybolovlev only read this article months later, in November, when one of his staff drew his attention to it. He brought it up in a conversation with Bouvier, still not knowing that Bouvier himself was responsible for the mark-up, and asked innocently if he and Bouvier might have overpaid for the work. Bouvier

replied that market conditions were "tough".
On February 25, 2015, Bouvier was invited to Rybolovlev's Monaco apartment so that the oligarch could settle the remaining bill on their last deal, a Rothko. But it was a trap. Rybolovlev had decided to go to the

authorities. As Bouvier entered the lobby, a squad of twelve men in black uniforms swooped in, put him in handcuffs and bundled him into an unmarked car. He was told he was under investigation for fraud and money laundering, and was interrogated for three days before being released on €10 million bail, later reduced to €3 million.

The lawsuits involving Rybolovlev, Bouvier and Sotheby's are ongoing.

Two years later, Rybolovlev was not at the auction of the *Salvator Mundi* at Christie's, but one of his daughters was in attendance. "She one of his daughters was in attendance. She kept me updated by text message," he tells me. "When the price reached \$200 million, I was satisfied and put my phone down. But the texts kept coming. I looked at my phone again and saw that it was more than \$300 million. So I kept hold of my phone and didn't put it down until the auction was over I was mazed. down until the auction was over. I was amazed It was an unbelievable price."

A difference emerged between the two

competing bidders. One bidder was considered, adding \$2 million increments to his offer. The other was unorthodox, responding quickly and ostentatiously, hiking up the price far beyond what was necessary. Once he added \$10 million to the price, and later \$18 million. It was this collector who won the prize, delivering by phone an incredible \$30 million increment.

The gavel clunked. Applause rang out,

drowning out the sound of a collective exhalation of breath. It was 8.50pm local time. It had taken 19 torturous minutes to reach this price point. Most items are sold in under five. The final price was \$400 million, plus Christie's commission of \$50 million charged to the buyer.

Simon had gone to see the Salvator shortly before the auction. "It was the first time I'd before the auction. It was the first time 1 u seen the painting in four or five years, and I started to tear up." He professes not to have been surprised by the new price = \$320 million above what he had sold it for four and a half years earlier. "I'm one of the people who thinks the Leonardo was not sold for a crazy amount of money. I think this is the rarest thing on the planet." thing on the planet.

In the days following the auction, there was speculation about the identity of the buyer. One suspect after another published denials. It was not the Gettys. It was not the defines it was not the Quatari royal family. It was not the fashion designer Valentino and his partner, Giancarlo Giammetti. On November 30, the president of the Louvre, Jean-Luc Martinez, said he hadn't bought the painting, but hoped to

borrow it for the museum.
Often, the identities of the winning bidders for works of art whose prices reach the hundreds of millions remain secret or unconfirmed for ever. But not this time.

small paintings all over the place. If I remember this Jesus Christ thing was maybe no more than 2ft x 3ft, or 18in x 24in, something in

Those are the correct dimensions of the Salvator Mundi

The Christie's specialist returned to New York, and later Tookie received a list of the pictures the auction house wanted. The Salvator Mundi was not on it.

I widen my eyes. The implication of what Tookie is telling me is that Christie's, the auction house that sold the Salvator Mundi to its new Gulf owners in 2017, had viewed all his father's paintings in 2005, but had passed on the Salvator Mundi, leaving it hanging on a staircase wall.

'What was the name of the painting?'

Tookie asks me. "Salvator Mundi."

"Who's it by?"
"There's some debate about that, but it may be by Leonardo da Vinci.

"No kidding?" I can almost hear Tookie's evebrows rising

Tookie is putting two (hundred million) and two (hundred million) together.

I thank Tookie for his time and ask if we can meet. I try to contact him after this phone call, but he declines to speak to me unless I negotiate a large fee with his lawyer as payment for an interview. I understand he has been taking advice about legal action against the auction houses, but nothing has transpired to date.

The Louvre Abu Dhabi is the most opulent museum in the world. It opened in 2017, ten years after the emirate's ministers signed a \$1.3 billion deal with France that allows it to use the Louvre's name until 2037, and also to borrow 300 works of art. Designed by the prestigious French architect Jean Nouvel, its immense, porous dome is a miracle of engineering, 180m across, touching the ground in only three places, covered with eight layers of geometric lattices, which carve up the light of the desert sun into thousands of stars. However, if visitors flock to the Louvre

Abu Dhabi to see the Salvator Mundi, they will not find it. Leonardo's painting has yet to be installed. On September 3, 2018, two weeks before the painting's scheduled premiere at the museum, the Louvre announced that it was cancelling the event. No reason was given The original reports about the identity

The original reports about the identity of the Salvator's buyer were correct. The painting was bought with Saudi money. Prince Badr was the winning bidder. He was acting, insiders claim, as an agent for Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman. But if the Saudi royal family weren't buying the painting to put in the flagship museum of their closest allies, why were they buying it? The 33-year-old

Mohammed bin Salman effectively came to power in 2017, displacing his brother bin Navef. Some say he was appointed by his father, the octogenarian King Salman, who suffers from mild dementia and has long retired from active kingship.

The Salvator was, by all accounts the first major artwork bought by bin Salman. Therefore someone with high-level contacts in the art market must have advised him. One candidate for this role could have been Donald Trump's son-in-law Jared Kushner, who forged a friendship with the Saudi crown prince in the early days of the Trump campaign. Kushner and his wife, Trump's daughter Ivanka, I their own art collection and are regular

attendees at Sotheby's and Christie's auctions Ivanka Trump counts among her friends the Russian collector Dasha Zhukova, the founder of Moscow's Garage Museum and former wife of billionaire oligarch Roman Abramovich. Kushner made a secret visit to

da Vinci. There is no evidence from Leonardo's lifetime that he painted it himself. Art historians are deeply divided. The directors of several of the world's leading museums viewed it, but did not try to buy it. The composition of the painting lacks Leonardo's narrative and anatomical twists. It could too easily be a patchwork of studio drawings. The information about the painting's provenance and restoration has been too controlled by those with a commercial and professional interest in it, to be fully relied upon.

Whatever the painting once was we can

never know, because the original has been lost under four centuries of restorations. The market and museums mostly went along with it all – or at least kept their doubts to themselves - because the financial and political incentives were irresistible.

And yet, one cannot help but feel a wave of admiration for Simon and Parish. It is an achievement to have found a painting of such

IT HAS NOW VANISHED AGAIN. 'I'M WORRIED,' SAYS ITS RESTORER. 'IT IS VERY FRAGILE'

Saudi Arabia in late October 2017, two weeks

before the Christie's auction.

At the time of writing, the Salvator Mundi has vanished once again into the sfumato of art history. The painting was sent to Switzerland several months after its auction in New York – an unusually long delay, which raises questions in itself. It is being stored in a vault, probably in the Geneva Freeport. Its restorer, Dianne Modestini, was contacted by a Swiss restorer in December 2018 asking for advice about the preservation and transportation of the painting to the Louvre in Paris, but it has not been sent there. It has never travelled to Abu Dhabi or Saudi Arabia.

Modestini fears for the Salvator's safety "I spoke to the French curator from Abu Dhabi. I said I'm worried. We packed it for travel in a climate-sealed envelope, but it's not a permanent arrangement to protect it from changes in temperature. The panel is very reactive. I said, 'If you actually are the owners of the picture, or if you have possession of it – or if you are not, but you know who has it – would you please tell whomsoever that they need to keep it at 45 per cent relative humidity?" Modestini is worried that the Salvator could break up again if exposed for too long to the wrong climate. "It is a very fragile painting," she says,

This adjective is appropriate. The entire art-historical construction of the Salvator Mundi is fragile. There are too many art-historical hoops this painting has to jump through to stand a real hope of being accepted and attributed long-term to Leonardo quality in such obscurity, and to bring it back from the dead. When they bought it, the painting was a piece of junk, a thrift-store picture sold at a rock-bottom price.

Twelve years later they had persuaded one of the world's most revered museums, the two most powerful auction houses in the world. and two of the world's wealthiest men that this was a masterpiece by the greatest artist who ever lived. In the end, they got their picture over the surprisingly thin line that divides the masterpiece from the mediocre If the attribution of the painting to Leonardo is at the moment based more on feelings than on facts, then so be it – this is a Leonardo for our age, a post-truth Leonardo.

Those on the outside may distrust the assertion that the painting is a "real" Leonardo, and be tempted to see a scam of huge proportions. It is a great misfortune that mistrust of the art world now runs this deep. But those on the inside know that there is a second, more profound, tragedy here, which is the inverse of the first: when a potential masterpiece is discovered, the systems for its assessment are now so compromised that the truth will never be believed. Short of a miracle, the Salvator Mundi will find itself floating forever in a Sisyphean limbo, where efforts to authenticate it permanently as a Leonardo are ultimately, inevitably, doomed to fail.

© Ben Lewis 2019. Extracted from The Last Leonardo: the Secret Life of the World's Most Expensive Painting, published by William Collins on April 18 (£20)